

CONSTITUTION AND DEMOCRATIC SERVICES COMMITTEE
29 NOVEMBER 2018

Minutes of the meeting of the Constitution and Democratic Services Committee of Flintshire County Council held in the Council Chamber, County Hall, Mold on Thursday, 29 November 2018.

PRESENT: Councillor Rita Johnson (Chair)

Glyn Banks, Marion Bateman, Chris Bithell, Clive Carver, Bob Connah, Jean Davies, Rob Davies, Ian Dunbar, David Healey, Joe Johnson, Mike Peers, Neville Phillips, Paul Shotton, Ian Smith, David Wisinger and Arnold Woolley

SUBSTITUTES: Councillor Vicky Perfect (for Michelle Perfect), Councillor Patrick Heesom (for David Williams) and Councillor Paul Johnson (for Paul Shotton)

APOLOGIES: Councillors Ian Dunbar and Ted Palmer

ALSO PRESENT: Councillor Christine Jones (as an observer)

IN ATTENDANCE: Chief Officer (Governance), Internal Audit Manager, Democratic Services Manager and Democratic Services Support Officer

15. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None were received.

16. MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 17 October 2018 were submitted. Members made a number of suggestions for typographical errors to be remedied. These were accepted.

RESOLVED:

The minutes be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

17. AUDIT COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE AND CHARTER

The Internal Audit Manager presented the Terms of Reference and Charter for the Audit Committee. These had been updated following a workshop for Audit Committee members and to bring them in line with CIPFA guidance. She referred members to the two copies of the Terms of Reference attached one with the tracked changes and outlined the changes to the document and the only additional responsibility was to produce an Annual Report to Full Council.

When referring to the Charter she confirmed this was new for the Authority and included more detail on the terms of reference, the roles and responsibilities of Audit

and Scrutiny committees and the links with the Chairs and Vice Chairs liaison group. These were presented for the committee's consideration and afterwards it would then go to Full Council for approval.

Councillor Patrick Heesom referred to the Measure and the membership of the Audit Committee. He commented on the extensive workload of the Committee and suggested it be increased in line with the other Committees. In response the Chief Officer (Governance) confirmed that any changes to the size of Committees could be considered at the Annual Meeting where this would be discussed. With regard to the 2011 Measure the proposals within the report were in line with the requirements and guidance from CIPFA. Councillor Heesom suggested that the Audit Committee be asked if they were satisfied with the composition of the committee.

Councillor Mike Peers referred the section 8 (financial affairs) and asked if Members should be considering the year-end viability of the council. He added Budget meetings were held but it was up to members to review and comment on the information provided to them. He felt it would be useful if the Audit committee could produce a report from the information they received. He also referred to Appendix A on page 55 the arrow from the Audit committee to Annual Governance Statement and Statement of Accounts and asked if it should be a two way arrow.

In response the Chief Officer (Governance) outlined the areas covered by treasury management and their responsibilities with regard to prudential borrowing. The financial health of the council was provided in the budget monitoring reports, and at Corporate Resources Overview & Scrutiny Committee and at Council when setting the budget. The Section 151 Officer provided advice to members when setting the budget. With regard to the diagram in Appendix A the Chief Officer felt that the Audit Committee would not refer the Statement of Accounts back to Council but recommend its approval to Council

Councillor Peers understood how the process worked but felt the Audit Committee could do a second check and provide assurance. He added in the diagram it looked as though the Statement of Accounts and Annual Governance Statement were not passed to the Audit Committee and yet they were asked to comment. The Chief Officer explained that both these documents originated from the Audit Committee and that this year Members of Audit had more involvement in the preparation of the Annual Governance Statement. With regard to financial viability Cabinet proposed the budget, it would then scrutinised by the relevant Scrutiny Committees and with the advice of the Section 151 Officer Council approved the budget.

The Internal Audit Manager added assurances were provided through the committee's forward work programmes, external auditors' reports and various workstreams. Also advisory work fed through into the budget process and contributed greatly to the assurances Cllrs Peers referred to.

Councillor Clive Carver referred to the tracked changes document and could not see what had been changed or added. He asked why this had been produced this way. The Internal Audit Manager apologised saying it should have shown tracked changes and did not understand why they were not there. She clarified nothing had been

removed. It was agreed that a tracked version of the document would be circulated to Members.

Councillor Bithell raised a number of questions about cuts to funding, the reduction in staffing levels, composition of the Audit Committee and Audit training.

The Internal Audit Manager referred to the self-awareness questionnaire the Committee were required to complete and explained that a workshop was held in September which identified areas where the committee could improve and where additional training of individuals or committee as a whole was required. She referred to the statutory requirements such as statement of accounts and explained how internal audit provided a risk assessment for each area, annual assurance and brought all information together in the action plan.

In response to a question from Councillor Bithell the Internal Audit Manager explained that an audit was identified as being required through a combination of performance, committee reports and quarterly meetings held with Chief Officers and Service Managers to discuss areas where they had concerns. The Chief Officer clarified not every report provided as much detail when it went to Audit Committee. Red Reports went into more detail but green reports did not. Meetings were held with the Chairs of the Scrutiny Committees and the Chair of the Audit Committee to discuss and co-ordinate their work. Scrutiny looked at performance and budget and Audit looked at process. He then provided information on the work officers had carried regarding the strategic risks in the Council plan.

Councillor Bithell referred to Value for Money and the impact on performance because of lack of funding and asked was this taken into consideration by audit. The Chief Officer responded that when internal Audit carried out an audit within their findings were highlighted issues which the management of the service would need to provide a response. Auditors had options to escalate if they were not satisfied with the response received. The Auditor would then monitor to ensure the commitments were kept. Managers made the assessments and were able to judge if resources were available to do it.

Councillor Bithell referred to the report and asked if the Term of Office should be changed to 5 years to reflect the term of the council. The Chief Officer agreed with this.

Councillor Glyn Banks suggested that the Audit Committee should meet six times a year to deal with their increased workload. The Internal Audit Manager responded saying there had only been one occasion when added resources had been brought in whilst the audit team was involved with an investigation.

Councillor Heesom referred to the management and running of the audit function which he felt was very good. He said he had attended every Audit Committee and felt there needed to be greater co-ordination between the elected Members and the internal audit function. The work the committee covered was immense and Members needed to understand the process, but raised concerns about the time Members had to read the papers prior to the meeting and felt that the System needed adjusting to allow more time. He felt the process was valued, necessary and had to have a greater merit.

Councillor Heesom referred to the Workshop in January and encouraged members to attend to develop a better understanding of the paperwork provided to them. He referred to the general monitoring reports and the item at the end of the reports referred to as loan facilities under Corporate Finances and asked what department this was accountable to. The Chief Officer advised that members of Audit Committee received their agendas 5 working days before a committee meeting.

RESOLVED:

- a) The Committee approve the changes agreed by the Audit Committee for the Audit Committee's Terms of Reference.
- b) The Committee approve the changes agreed by the Audit Committee for the Audit Committee's Charter

17. WEBCASTING PROVISION

The Democratic Services Manager presented the report and provided background to the Committee on the funding for the webcasting provision, with Planning and Full Council meetings identified for webcasting.

The committee was directed to page 15 which outlined the viewing figures. Members were able to link their blogs to webcasts at County Council or Planning. The Officer then dealt with the funding arrangements.

Councillor Clive Carver referred to the viewing figures which were encouraging and to the statement on page 4 from the Wales Audit Office saying webcasting improved access to Council business. The indefinite archiving of webcast meetings was very useful but asked for clarification on the 60 hours of hosted content. He supported this as an "invest to save" for the future and suggest the council go for 5 years. In response the Democratic Services Manager reported that the 60 hours of hosted content referred to broadcasts within a year and did not refer to maintaining the archive.

Councillor Carver referred to the proposal for the Corporate Resources Overview & Scrutiny Committee budget meeting to be webcast and hoped this would go ahead. The Democratic Services Manager confirmed it would be the meeting held in the Council Chamber. Councillor Mike Peers suggested that other Overview & Scrutiny budget meetings should also be webcast, as he was sure there would be public interest for this. He was mindful that if the Welsh Government funding did not continue this could become a budget pressure and sought assurances on how this pressure would be funded and asked whether this decision should be made by County Council

Councillor Heesom stated not having a written record especially with Planning was difficult when applications go to appeal. He endorsed and supported it but added that a written record was indispensable. In response the Chief Officer stated that the quality of minute takers was excellent but a recording was better in many cases because it gave more information as not all of the meeting could be captured by the minute taker.

Councillor Glyn Banks asked if Welsh Government stated this was a mandatory service and if this was still the case would funding not be provided for this. It was

confirmed Flintshire was collaborating with our five neighbours to get the same service from the same provider.

Councillor Banks also asked if the webcast could be highlighted better on the website to assist the public to find it and that felt it would be better to go for the 5 year contract. The Chief Officer confirmed the link was on the front page under Council and Democracy but that this could be looked at as part of the work within digital strategy.

RESOLVED:

That the Committee support the continuation of Webcasting provision, with a contract length of 5 years

18. OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY ANNUAL REPORT 2017/18

The Democratic Services Manager reminded the Committee that the Overview & Scrutiny Annual Report was presented annually. This year more of the Council Plan Priorities had been highlighted in the Chairs' comments.

Councillor Mike Peers suggested that the title on page 65 "how call in works" be changed to "the call in process". The Committee supported this suggestion.

Councillor Patrick Heesom thanked the Democratic Services Manager and his team for the report but raised concerns around Cabinet meetings taking place in the mornings and Full Council in the afternoon as he felt there needed to be a greater gap between the Cabinet meetings and full council to enable call in to be facilitated.

RESOLVED:

- a) That the committee considers and comments on the draft Overview & Scrutiny Annual Report for 2017/18: those comments will be used to provide the foreword to the Annual Report.
- b) That if the committee approve the report to enable it to be submitted to Council at the next meeting.

20. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS IN ATTENDANCE

There were no members of the public or press present.

(The meeting started at 2pm and ended at 3.40 pm)

.....
Chair